# **Evaluation and Treatment** of Acute Low Back Pain

SCOTT KINKADE, M.D., M.S.P.H., University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, Texas

Acute low back pain with or without sciatica usually is self-limited and has no serious underlying pathology. For most patients, reassurance, pain medications, and advice to stay active are sufficient. A more thorough evaluation is required in selected patients with "red flag" findings associated with an increased risk of cauda equina syndrome,

cancer, infection, or fracture. These patients also require closer follow-up and, in some cases, urgent referral to a surgeon. In patients with nonspecific mechanical low back pain, imaging can be delayed for at least four to six weeks, which usually allows the pain to improve. There is good evidence for the effectiveness of acetaminophen, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, skeletal muscle relaxants, heat therapy, physical therapy, and advice to stay active. Spinal manipulative therapy may provide short-term benefits compared with sham therapy but not when compared with conventional treatments. Evidence for the benefit of acupuncture is conflicting, with higher-quality trials showing no benefit. Patient education should focus on the natural history of the back pain, its overall good prognosis, and recommendations for effective treatments. (Am Fam Physician 2007;75:1181-8, 1190-2. Copyright © 2007 American Academy of Family Physicians.)



# tion: A handout on low back pain, written by

▶ Patient informa-

the author of this article and by Richard B. Sisson, a medical student at Georgetown University School of Medicine, is provided on page 1190.

ow back pain affects a reported 5.6 percent of U.S. adults each day,1 and 18 percent report having had back pain in the previous month.2 The lifetime prevalence of low back pain is estimated to be at least 60 to 70 percent.<sup>3,4</sup> Although most patients self-treat back pain and only 25 to 30 percent seek medical care, 5,6 back pain is one of the most common reasons for visits to family physicians. Family physicians treat more patients with back pain than any other subspecialist, and about as many as orthopedists and neurosurgeons combined.3

# Diagnosis

Acute low back pain is defined as pain that occurs posteriorly in the region between the lower rib margin and the proximal thighs and that is of less than six weeks' duration. Sciatica is pain that radiates down the posterior or lateral leg beyond the knee. Knowing the prevalence of various etiologies of back pain, looking for "red flag" findings (which indicate a serious underlying condition) in the history and physical examination, and performing some basic physical examination maneuvers allow physicians to accurately and quickly classify most causes of back pain.

# **DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

Serious conditions such as cancer, infection, and visceral disease account for only a small percentage of back pain cases, and vertebral compression fractures account for less than 5 percent (Table 13,7-13). Herniated disks, which are often managed initially like lumbar strains, account for only 4 percent of back pain cases.3 Most back pain is nonspecific lumbar strain or idiopathic back pain. The prevalence of these disorders varies with age, with herniated disks being most common in patients between 20 and 50 years, and degenerative processes (e.g., spinal stenosis, osteoporotic fractures) more likely in older patients.<sup>7,10</sup>

The natural history of back pain is favorable overall; studies show that 30 to 60 percent of patients recover in one week, 60 to 90 percent recover in six weeks, and 95 percent recover in 12 weeks.<sup>7,14</sup> However,

	Evidence	D-f
Clinical recommendation	rating	References
In the absence of "red flag" findings or signs of cauda equina syndrome, four to six weeks of conservative care is appropriate for patients with acute low back pain.	С	16-20
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, and skeletal muscle relaxants are effective first-line medications in the treatment of acute, nonspecific low back pain.	А	22, 24-26
Bed rest for more than two or three days in patients with acute low back pain is ineffective and may be harmful. Patients should be instructed to remain active.	Α	32, 33
Education about activity, aggravating factors, natural history, and expected time course for improvement may speed recovery of patients with acute low back pain and prevent chronic back pain.	С	34, 37
Specific back exercises for patients with acute low back pain are not helpful.	Α	39
Heat therapy may be helpful in reducing pain and increasing function in patients with acute low back pain.	В	45-50
Spinal manipulative therapy for acute low back pain may offer some short-term benefits but probably is no more effective than usual medical care.	В	51-54

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, see page 1135 or http://www.aafp.org/afpsort.xml.

relapses and recurrences are common, occurring in about 40 percent of patients within six months.<sup>15</sup>

#### HISTORY AND PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

The goal of the clinical examination is to identify patients who require immediate surgical evaluation and those whose symptoms suggest a more serious underlying condition such as malignancy or infection. Patients with signs of cauda equina syndrome, such as progressive neurologic deficits, bowel or bladder dysfunction, bilateral sciatica or leg weakness, or numbness in a saddle distribution, require urgent surgical referral. Physicians should inquire about red flag findings and order appropriate imaging and laboratory studies if necessary (*Table 2*<sup>16</sup>). Typical signs and symptoms of other causes of back pain are listed in *Table 1*.<sup>3,7-13</sup>

Screening tests to detect a herniated disk include asking about the presence of sciatica, the straight leg raise, the crossed straight leg raise (i.e., raising the contralateral, unaffected leg), and testing strength and reflexes in the lower extremities. Herniated disks are unlikely in patients with no history of sciatica (i.e., with pain that does not radiate beyond the knee). Four percent of patients with acute low back pain have a herniated disk, but 95 percent of patients with herniation have sciatica; therefore, the likelihood of a symptomatic herniated disk in a patient with acute back pain but no symptoms of sciatica is approximately one in 500. Physical examination findings are useful in localizing the level of the disk herniation (*Table 3*).

#### **IMAGING AND LABORATORY EVALUATION**

Because acute low back pain typically does not have a serious etiology, and because most cases resolve with conservative treatment, immediate imaging is rarely indicated. All major guidelines on the treatment of acute low back pain have similar recommendations regarding imaging. <sup>16-20</sup> In the absence of red flag findings, four to six weeks of conservative care is safe and appropriate, and imaging is not indicated. Suggested evaluations for patients with red flag findings are outlined in *Table 2*. <sup>16</sup> Timing between the first- and second-line evaluations is guided by the patient's symptoms and the strength of clinical suspicion for the underlying disorder. If clinical suspicion is sufficiently high, it may be necessary to proceed directly to advanced imaging. If magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is not readily available, or if the cost is prohibitive, computed tomography may be adequate. <sup>16</sup>

Diagnostic imaging of the spine has a high rate of abnormal findings in asymptomatic persons. In studies of lumbar spine MRI evaluation in asymptomatic adults, herniated disks were found in 9 to 76 percent of patients, bulging disks in 20 to 81 percent, degenerative disks in 46 to 93 percent, and annular tears in 14 to 56 percent.<sup>21</sup> Therefore, imaging should be used in carefully selected patients and interpreted with appropriate clinical correlation.

#### **Treatment**

Treatment methods for acute low back pain and the evidence to support them are reviewed in the following.

# **NSAIDS AND ACETAMINOPHEN**

Oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are recommended for the treatment of acute low back pain. One systematic review of 51 randomized controlled trials

Table 1. Differential Diagnosis of Low Back Pain

Condition (prevalence*)	Signs and symptoms			
Mechanical low back pain (97%)				
Lumbar strain or sprain (≥ 70%)	Diffuse pain in lumbar muscles; some radiation to buttocks			
Degenerative disk or facet process (10%)	Localized lumbar pain; similar findings to lumbar strain			
Herniated disk (4%)	Leg pain often worse than back pain; pain radiating below knee			
Osteoporotic compression fracture (4%)	Spine tenderness; often history of trauma			
Spinal stenosis (3%)	Pain better when spine is flexed or when seated, aggravated by walking downhill more than uphill; symptoms often bilateral			
Spondylolisthesis (2%)	Pain with activity, usually better with rest; usually detected w imaging; controversial as cause of significant pain			
Nonmechanical spinal conditions (1%)				
Neoplasia (0.7%)	Spine tenderness; weight loss			
Inflammatory arthritis (0.3%)	Morning stiffness, improves with exercise			
Infection (0.01%)	Spine tenderness; constitutional symptoms			
Nonspinal/visceral disease (2%)				
Pelvic organs—prostatitis, pelvic inflammatory disease, endometriosis	Lower abdominal symptoms common			
Renal organs—nephrolithiasis, pyelonephritis	Usually involves abdominal symptoms; abnormal urinalysis			
Aortic aneurysm	Epigastric pain; pulsatile abdominal mass			
Gastrointestinal system—pancreatitis, cholecystitis, peptic ulcer	Epigastric pain; nausea, vomiting			
Shingles	Unilateral, dermatomal pain; distinctive rash			

Information from references 3 and 7 through 13.

\*—Estimated percentage of patients with this condition among all adult patients with low back pain in primary care.

comparing NSAIDs with placebo found strong evidence that NSAIDs significantly improve pain control.<sup>22</sup> There is strong evidence that various NSAIDs are equally effective.<sup>22</sup> Meta-analysis of common oral medications for acute pain has demonstrated that two or three patients need to be treated for one patient to feel at least a 50 percent improvement in pain over four to six hours (i.e., number needed to treat [NNT] = 2 or 3).<sup>23</sup>

There is conflicting evidence about whether NSAIDs are superior to acetaminophen for treatment of acute low back pain.<sup>22</sup> Acetaminophen in recommended dosages (i.e., up to 4 g per day in patients without liver problems) can be a helpful adjunct and avoids the renal and gastrointestinal toxicities of NSAIDs.

# OPIOIDS

Some patients with acute low back pain, and more commonly those with sciatica, require oral opioids to control the pain. Opioids should be considered a second- or third-line analgesic option and should be used only for a short period for most patients. There is little evidence from well-designed studies regarding the benefits and harms of opioid use in acute low back pain, and there have been few comparisons with other pain relievers. Several small studies have shown no significant advantage of opioid use in symptom relief or return to work when compared with NSAIDs or acetaminophen.<sup>22</sup>

Side effects of opioids include pruritus, constipation, drowsiness, and addiction.

# **MUSCLE RELAXANTS**

Two meta-analyses provide strong evidence that muscle relaxants are helpful in the treatment of nonspecific acute low back pain. For example, patients receiving cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) were significantly more likely to report improvement in low back pain symptoms at two weeks than patients receiving placebo (NNT = 3). Muscle relaxants are most beneficial in the first one or two weeks of treatment. There is some evidence that skeletal muscle relaxants lead to additional improvement when used with NSAIDs. Various skeletal muscle relaxants are similar in effectiveness. Various skeletal muscle relaxants

Side effects of skeletal muscle relaxants include drowsiness and dizziness and may limit the usefulness of these drugs. Patients taking cyclobenzaprine at a dosage of 10 mg three times per day were nearly two times more likely to report side effects than those taking placebo (53 versus 28 percent, respectively).<sup>24</sup> Other muscle relaxants have similar rates of adverse events.<sup>25</sup> Carisoprodol (Soma) has been associated with abuse and dependence and is a schedule IV drug in some states. Metaxalone (Skelaxin) and low-dose cyclobenzaprine (i.e., 5 mg rather than 10 mg) provide good symptom relief with significantly decreased side effects.<sup>28</sup>

Table 2. "Red Flag" Findings and Evaluation Strategies for Patients with Low Back Pain

	Diagnosis of concern				Evaluation .	Evaluation strategy*		
Finding	Cauda equina syndrome	Fracture	Cancer	Infection	CBC/ESR/ CRP	Plain radiography	MRI	
Age > 50 years		X	X		1†	1	2	
Fevers, chills, recent urinary tract or skin infection, penetrating wound near spine				X	1	1	1	
Significant trauma		X				1	2	
Unrelenting night pain or pain at rest			Х	X	1†	1	2	
Progressive motor or sensory deficit	Χ		Χ				1E	
Saddle anesthesia, bilateral sciatica or leg weakness, difficulty urinating, fecal incontinence	X						1Ē	
Unexplained weight loss			Χ		1†	1	2	
History of cancer or strong suspicion for current cancer			X		1†	1	2	
History of osteoporosis		X				1	2	
mmunosuppression				X	1	1	2	
Chronic oral steroid use		Χ		Χ	1	1	2	
Intravenous drug use				Χ	1	1	2	
Substance abuse		Χ		X	1	1	2	
Failure to improve after six weeks of conservative therapy			Χ	Χ	1†	1	2‡	

CBC = complete blood count; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP = C-reactive protein; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.

NOTE: "Red flag" findings indicate the possibility of a serious underlying condition.

Information from reference 16.

#### **CORTICOSTEROIDS**

No studies support the use of oral steroids in patients with acute low back pain. Epidural steroid injections may be helpful in patients with radicular symptoms that do not respond to two to six weeks of conservative therapy. Randomized trials have demonstrated short-term (i.e., weeks to months) but not long-term improvement in pain and disability with epidural steroid injections.<sup>29-31</sup>

# **BED REST**

Bed rest provides no benefit to patients who have acute low back pain with or without sciatica. For nonspecific low back pain, there is strong evidence that advice to stay active rather than rest in bed results in less time missed from work, improved functional status, and less pain.<sup>32,33</sup> For patients with sciatica, there is no difference in outcomes between staying active and resting in bed.<sup>32,33</sup> If bed rest is necessary, it typically should last no longer than two or three days.

# PATIENT EDUCATION

There is limited evidence for the benefit of educating patients about low back pain.<sup>34</sup> Simple educational booklets have been proven effective in modifying patients'

<sup>\*—1 =</sup> first-line evaluation in most situations; 2 = follow-up evaluation; E = emergent evaluation required.

<sup>†—</sup>Prostate-specific antigen testing may be indicated in men in whom cancer is suspected.

<sup>‡—</sup>Or unnecessary.

Table 3. Physical Examination Findings in Nerve Root Impingements

Herniation	Nerve root affected	Sensory loss	Motor weakness	Screening examination	Reflex
L3-L4 disk	L4	Medial foot	Knee extension	Squat and rise	Patellar
L4-L5 disk	L5	Dorsal foot	Dorsiflexion ankle/great toe	Heel walking	None
L5-S1 disk	S1	Lateral foot	Plantarflexion ankle/toes	Walking on toes	Achilles

Table 4. Return-to-Work Guidelines for Patients with Acute Low Back Pain

	Expected return to unmodified work with:					
Activity level	Mild low back pain	Severe low back pain	Sciatica	Typical modified duty		
Light work (i.e., mostly sitting, occasional standing and walking, lifting and carrying up to 20 lb [9 kg])	0 days	0 to 3 days	2 to 5 days	No lifting more than 5 lb (2.25 kg) three times per hour  No prolonged sitting, standing, or walking without a five-minute break every 30 minutes		
Medium work (i.e., equal standing, sitting, and walking; occasional bending, twisting, or stooping; lifting and carrying up to 50 lb [22.5 kg])	_	14 to 17 days	21 days	_		
Heavy work (i.e., constant standing or walking; frequent bending, twisting, or stooping; lifting up to 100 lb [45 kg])	Up to 7 to 10 days	35 days	35 days	No lifting more than 25 lb (11.25 kg) 15 times per hour  No prolonged standing or walking without a 10-minute break every hour  Driving car or light truck up to six hours per day; driving heavy vehicle or equipment up to four hours per day		

NOTE: Times until return to full duty will vary with severity and role and are typical for ages 35 to 55 years. Times for younger workers are approximately 20 to 30 percent shorter.

Information from reference 38.

beliefs and improving function; these booklets provide small additional benefits when compared with physical therapy and chiropractic care.<sup>35,36</sup>

Patient education focusing on activity, aggravating factors, the natural history of the disease, its relatively benign etiology, and expected time course for improvement may speed recovery and prevent chronic pain.<sup>34,37</sup> Patients should understand that pain does not always indicate harm. Recommendations should include staying active but avoiding heavy lifting, bending, twisting, and prolonged sitting. Modification of work duties may be required; however, patients should be encouraged to return to work at light duty rather than wait for complete resolution of the pain (see *Table 4*<sup>38</sup> for specific recommendations).

#### **EXERCISE THERAPY**

Specific back exercises for patients with acute low back pain are not helpful. A meta-analysis of 10 trials of structured exercise therapy compared with no exercise in patients with acute low back pain demonstrated no benefit with exercise programs.<sup>39</sup> There was no improvement with exercise in short-, intermediate-, or long-term outcomes of pain relief or function.

# MASSAGE

Two systematic reviews found insufficient evidence to make a reliable recommendation regarding massage for acute low back pain. 40,41 Massage therapy is considered safe and may be preferred by some patients.

# ACUPUNCTURE

There is limited evidence about the use of acupuncture in the treatment of acute low back pain. Higher-quality trials provide moderate evidence that it is not beneficial. 42,43 One high-quality trial of acupuncture versus sham therapy for acute low back pain found no difference in pain or function, whereas a smaller trial in patients with

sciatica found acupuncture to be helpful.<sup>42,43</sup> A high-quality trial of acupuncture versus naproxen (Naprosyn) at a dosage of 500 mg two times per day found no difference in pain relief, although the acupuncture group used less pain medication. One lower-quality trial using a combination of acupuncture, herbs, and moxibustion versus herbal therapy alone found a small benefit with acupuncture, whereas another lower-quality trial of acupuncture versus moxibustion found no benefit.<sup>42,43</sup>

#### **HEAT OR ICE**

There is minimal evidence regarding the use of cold therapy in the treatment of acute low back pain.<sup>44</sup> Heat therapy has been found to be helpful in reducing pain and increasing function in patients with acute low back pain.<sup>44-50</sup> A Cochrane review found only one trial of ice massage versus heat packs in patients with acute or chronic back pain that showed equivalence between the therapies.<sup>44</sup> Six studies of the use of heat in treating acute low back pain found small to moderate benefits in the groups receiving heat therapy.<sup>45-50</sup> Because of the nature of the intervention, blinding was impossible for the patients, but in three studies the investigator was blinded.<sup>46-48</sup> Five of the six trials used a commercial disposable heat wrap and were funded by the maker of the device.<sup>45-48,50</sup>

# **MANIPULATION**

Four good-quality systematic reviews of spinal manipulative therapy in acute low back pain are available.<sup>51-54</sup> There is some evidence that spinal manipulation results in short-term improvements in pain when compared with sham or ineffective treatments, but not when compared with usual care treatments (i.e., family physician–provided care, analgesics, physical therapy, or back school).<sup>51,52,54</sup> There is some evidence that spinal manipulation leads to short-term improvement in function when compared with placebo, but not when compared with usual care.<sup>51,53,54</sup> Spinal manipulation does not confer long-term benefits for acute low back pain.<sup>51-53</sup>

Newer studies that were not included in the reviews have mixed results. A study involving 102 patients with acute low back pain and sciatica found that patients receiving spinal manipulative therapy were significantly less likely to have pain at six months than those receiving sham manipulation.<sup>55</sup> A study of 131 patients showed that those meeting prespecified criteria, including short duration of back pain and no radicular symptoms, benefited from spinal manipulation compared with patients who were assigned to exercise or who did not meet the criteria.<sup>56</sup> Spinal manipulation was

not found to be effective in two large studies involving 2,015 patients with varied durations of back pain; however, there is no way to discern whether it benefited the subset of patients with acute low back pain. Two trials with a total of 592 patients with acute low back pain found that spinal manipulation was no better than treatment with muscle relaxants, sham treatments, or a brief pain management program. Manipulative therapy of the lumbar spine is generally safe when provided by an appropriate practitioner, and it is used by many patients.

# PHYSICAL THERAPY

Studies of physical therapy for acute low back pain are heterogeneous because the intervention method differs: it can include education, exercises, traction, manipulation, or massage, as well as modalities such as heat, ice, and ultrasonography. Two meta-analyses regarding the McKenzie method of physical therapy are available. The McKenzie method is superior to other treatments with regard to short-term pain relief and disability; however, these benefits are not apparent in longer-term follow-up. Individualized education during physical therapy, particularly when it is focused on fear avoidance and staying active, appears to be helpful. There is strong evidence that traction does not lead to improvement for patients with or without sciatica.

# **Prevention**

Because relapses of back pain are common and the societal burden of chronic back pain is large, strategies to prevent initial injuries or to prevent acute back pain from becoming chronic may be useful. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)<sup>64</sup> and the COST B13 Working Group on European Guidelines for Prevention in Low Back Pain<sup>65</sup> have synthesized the evidence on prevention.

The USPSTF concluded that there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against the routine use of exercise interventions to prevent back pain. The European guidelines recommend exercise to prevent work absence and the occurrence or prolongation of further back pain episodes; the authors found stronger evidence of the effectiveness of exercise to prevent low back pain and recurrences in the subpopulation of workers. Recommendations are mixed regarding back schools, and neither of the guidelines recommends the use of lumbar supports or back belts for prevention of low back pain. There is strong evidence that lumbar supports do not prevent low back pain. <sup>65</sup>

There is strong evidence that several psychosocial factors correlate with the development of chronic back

# Table 5. Psychosocial Factors Associated with an Increased Likelihood of Developing Chronic Back Pain

Disputed compensation claims

Fear avoidance (exaggerated pain or fear that activity will cause permanent damage)

Job dissatisfaction

Pending or past litigation related to the back pain

Psychological distress and depression

Reliance on passive treatments rather than active patient participation

Somatization

Information from references 66 through 69.

pain (*Table 5*).<sup>66-69</sup> However, strategies aimed at screening for and addressing these risk factors have not been well studied.

# The Author

SCOTT KINKADE, M.D., M.S.P.H., is an assistant professor of family medicine and director of predoctoral education at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical School in Dallas. He received his medical degree from the University of Texas Medical School in Houston. Dr. Kinkade completed a family medicine residency at Martin Army Community Hospital in Fort Benning, Ga., and a medical education fellowship at the University of Missouri—Columbia.

Address correspondence to Scott Kinkade, M.D., M.S.P.H., Dept. of Family and Community Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, 6263 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, TX 75390-9067 (e-mail: scott.kinkade@utsouthwestern.edu). Reprints are not available from the author.

Author disclosure: Nothing to disclose.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Loney PL, Stratford PW. The prevalence of low back pain in adults: a methodological review of the literature. Phys Ther 1999;79:384-96.
- 2. Dillon C, Paulose-Ram R, Hirsch R, Gu Q. Skeletal muscle relaxant use in the United States: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). Spine 2004;29:892-6.
- Hart LG, Deyo RA, Cherkin DC. Physician office visits for low back pain. Frequency, clinical evaluation, and treatment patterns from a U.S. national survey. Spine 1995;20:11-9.
- 4. van Tulder M, Koes B, Bombardier C. Low back pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2002;16:761-75.
- Malanga G, Nadler S, Agesen T. Epidemiology. In: Cole AJ, Herring SA, eds. The Low Back Pain Handbook: A Guide for the Practicing Clinician. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa.: Hanley and Belfus, 2003:1-7.
- Wolsko PM, Eisenberg DM, Davis RB, Kessler R, Phillips RS. Patterns and perceptions of care for treatment of back and neck pain: results of a national survey. Spine 2003;28:292-7.
- 7. Deyo RA, Weinstein JN. Low back pain. N Engl J Med 2001;344:363-70.

- Deyo RA. Early diagnostic evaluation of low back pain. J Gen Intern Med 1986;1:328-38.
- Deyo RA, Diehl AK. Cancer as a cause of back pain: frequency, clinical presentation, and diagnostic strategies. J Gen Intern Med 1988;3:230-8.
- 10. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? JAMA 1992;268:760-5.
- Jarvik JG, Hollingworth W, Martin B, Emerson SS, Gray DT, Overman S, et al. Rapid magnetic resonance imaging vs radiographs for patients with low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2003;289:2810-8.
- McGuirk B, King W, Govind J, Lowry J, Bogduk N. Safety, efficacy, and cost effectiveness of evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute low back pain in primary care. Spine 2001;26:2615-22.
- McNally EG, Wilson DJ, Ostlere SJ. Limited magnetic resonance imaging in low back pain instead of plain radiographs: experience with first 1000 cases. Clin Radiol 2001:56:922-5.
- Carragee EJ, Hannibal M. Diagnostic evaluation of low back pain. Orthop Clin North Am 2004;35:7-16.
- Carey TS, Garrett JM, Jackman A, Hadler N. Recurrence and care seeking after acute back pain: results of a long-term follow-up study. North Carolina Back Pain Project. Med Care 1999;37:157-64.
- Bradley WG Jr, Seidenwurm DJ, Brunberg JA, Davis PC, De La Paz RL, Dormont D, et al., for the American College of Radiology. ACR appropriateness criteria: low back pain. Accessed January 10, 2007, at: http://www.acr.org/s\_acr/bin.asp?CID=1205&DID=11801&DOC=FILE.PDF.
- Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Guidelines Group. Evidencebased management of acute musculoskeletal pain. Accessed January 10, 2007, at: http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/publications/\_files/cp94.pdf.
- Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Health care guideline: adult low back pain. 12th ed. September, 2006. Accessed January 10, 2007, at: http://www.icsi.org/display\_file.asp?FileId=138&title=Adult%20 Low%20Back%20Pain.
- The Norwegian Back Pain Network. Acute low back pain: interdisciplinary clinical guidelines. Accessed January 10, 2007, at: http://www. ifomt.org/pdf/Norway\_Acute\_Low\_Back.pdf.
- 20. van Tulder M, Becker A, Bekkering T, Breen A, del Real MT, Hutchinson A, et al., for the COST B13 Working Group on Guidelines for the Management of Acute Low Back Pain in Primary Care. Chapter 3. European guidelines for the management of acute nonspecific low back pain in primary care. Eur Spine J 2006;15(suppl 2):S169-91.
- Jarvik JG, Deyo RA. Diagnostic evaluation of low back pain with emphasis on imaging. Ann Intern Med 2002;137:586-97.
- van Tulder MW, Scholten RJ, Koes BW, Deyo RA. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs for low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;(2):CD000396.
- Acute pain. Bandolier Extra, February 2003. Accessed February 14, 2007, at: http://www.jr2.ox.ac.uk/bandolier/Extraforbando/APain.pdf.
- 24. Browning R, Jackson JL, O'Malley PG. Cyclobenzaprine and back pain: a meta-analysis. Arch Intern Med 2001;161:1613-20.
- van Tulder MW, Touray T, Furlan AD, Solway S, Bouter LM. Muscle relaxants for non-specific low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003;(2):CD004252.
- Beebe FA, Barkin RL, Barkin S. A clinical and pharmacologic review of skeletal muscle relaxants for musculoskeletal conditions. Am J Ther 2005;12:151-71.
- Chou R, Peterson K, Helfand M. Comparative efficacy and safety of skeletal muscle relaxants for spasticity and musculoskeletal conditions: a systematic review. J Pain Symptom Manage 2004;28:140-75.
- Toth PP, Urtis J. Commonly used muscle relaxant therapies for acute low back pain: a review of carisoprodol, cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride, and metaxalone. Clin Ther 2004;26:1355-67.
- Arden NK, Price C, Reading I, Stubbing J, Hazelgrove J, Dunne C, et al. A multicentre randomized controlled trial of epidural corticosteroid

# Low Back Pain

- injections for sciatica: the WEST study. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2005;44:1399-406.
- Nelemans PJ, de Bie RA, de Vet HC, Sturmans F. Injection therapy for subacute and chronic benign low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000;(2):CD001824.
- 31. Wilson-MacDonald J, Burt G, Griffin D, Glynn C. Epidural steroid injection for nerve root compression: a randomised, controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2005;87:352-5.
- Hagen KB, Hilde G, Jamtvedt G, Winnem M. Bed rest for acute low-back pain and sciatica. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004;(4): CD001254
- Hilde G, Hagen KB, Jamtvedt G, Winnem M. Advice to stay active as a single treatment for low back pain and sciatica. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;(2):CD003632.
- 34. Henrotin YE, Cedraschi C, Duplan B, Bazin T, Duquesnoy B. Information and low back pain management: a systematic review. Spine 2006;31: F326-34.
- 35. Burton AK, Waddell G, Tillotson KM, Summerton N. Information and advice to patients with back pain can have a positive effect. A randomized controlled trial of a novel educational booklet in primary care. Spine 1999;24:2484-91.
- Cherkin DC, Deyo RA, Battie M, Street J, Barlow W. A comparison of physical therapy, chiropractic manipulation, and provision of an educational booklet for the treatment of patients with low back pain. N Engl J Med 1998;339:1021-9.
- Moffett JK, Mannion AF. What is the value of physical therapies for back pain? Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2005;19:623-38.
- 38. Denniston PL, ed. Official Disability Guidelines. 11th ed. Encinitas, Calif.: Work Loss Data Institute, 2005.
- Hayden JA, van Tulder MW, Malmivaara A, Koes BW. Exercise therapy for treatment of non-specific low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005;(3):CD000335.
- Cherkin DC, Sherman KJ, Deyo RA, Shekelle PG. A review of the evidence for the effectiveness, safety, and cost of acupuncture, massage therapy, and spinal manipulation for back pain. Ann Intern Med 2003;138:898-906.
- Furlan AD, Brosseau L, Imamura M, Irvin E. Massage for low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002;(2):CD001929.
- Furlan AD, van Tulder MW, Cherkin DC, Tsukayama H, Lao L, Koes BW, et al. Acupuncture and dry-needling for low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005;(1):CD001351.
- Manheimer E, White A, Berman B, Forys K, Ernst E. Meta-analysis: acupuncture for low back pain [Published correction appears in Ann Intern Med 2005;142:950-1]. Ann Intern Med 2005;142:651-63.
- French SD, Cameron M, Walker BF, Reggars JW, Esterman AJ. Superficial heat or cold for low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;(1):CD004750.
- Mayer JM, Ralph L, Look M, Erasala GN, Verna JL, Matheson LN, et al. Treating acute low back pain with continuous low-level heat wrap therapy and/or exercise: a randomized controlled trial. Spine J 2005;5:395-403.
- Nadler SF, Steiner DJ, Erasala GN, Hengehold DA, Abeln SB, Weingand KW. Continuous low-level heatwrap therapy for treating acute nonspecific low back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003;84:329-34.
- Nadler SF, Steiner DJ, Erasala GN, Hengehold DA, Hinkle RT, Beth Goodale M, et al. Continuous low-level heat wrap therapy provides more efficacy than ibuprofen and acetaminophen for acute low back pain. Spine 2002;27:1012-7.
- Nadler SF, Steiner DJ, Petty SR, Erasala GN, Hengehold DA, Weingand KW. Overnight use of continuous low-level heatwrap therapy for relief of low back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003;84:335-42.
- 49. Nuhr M, Hoerauf K, Bertalanffy A, Bertalanffy P, Frickey N, Gore C, et al. Active warming during emergency transport relieves acute low back pain. Spine 2004;29:1499-503.

- Tao XG, Bernacki EJ. A randomized clinical trial of continuous low-level heat therapy for acute muscular low back pain in the workplace. J Occup Environ Med 2005;47:1298-306.
- Assendelft WJ, Morton SC, Yu El, Suttorp MJ, Shekelle PG. Spinal manipulative therapy for low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004;(1):CD000447.
- 52. Bronfort G, Haas M, Evans RL, Bouter LM. Efficacy of spinal manipulation and mobilization for low back pain and neck pain: a systematic review and best evidence synthesis. Spine J 2004;4:335-56.
- Ferreira ML, Ferreira PH, Latimer J, Herbert R, Maher CG. Efficacy of spinal manipulative therapy for low back pain of less than three months' duration. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2003;26:593-601.
- 54. van Tulder MW, Koes B, Malmivaara A. Outcome of non-invasive treatment modalities on back pain: an evidence-based review. Eur Spine J 2006;15(suppl 1):S64-81.
- 55. Santilli V, Beghi E, Finucci S. Chiropractic manipulation in the treatment of acute back pain and sciatica with disc protrusion: a randomized double-blind clinical trial of active and simulated spinal manipulations. Spine J 2006;6:131-7.
- Childs JD, Fritz JM, Flynn TW, Irrgang JJ, Johnson KK, Majkowski GR, et al. A clinical prediction rule to identify patients with low back pain most likely to benefit from spinal manipulation: a validation study. Ann Intern Med 2004;141:920-8.
- UK BEAM Trial Team. United Kingdom back pain exercise and manipulation (UK BEAM) randomised trial: effectiveness of physical treatments for back pain in primary care. BMJ 2004;329:1377.
- 58. Hurwitz EL, Morgenstern H, Kominski GF, Yu F, Chiang LM. A randomized trial of chiropractic and medical care for patients with low back pain: eighteen-month follow-up outcomes from the UCLA low back pain study. Spine 2006;31:611-21.
- 59. Hay EM, Mullis R, Lewis M, Vohora K, Main CJ, Watson P, et al. Comparison of physical treatments versus a brief pain-management programme for back pain in primary care: a randomised clinical trial in physiotherapy practice. Lancet 2005;365:2024-30.
- 60. Hoiriis KT, Pfleger B, McDuffie FC, Cotsonis G, Elsangak O, Hinson R, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing chiropractic adjustments to muscle relaxants for subacute low back pain. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2004;27:388-98.
- Clare HA, Adams R, Maher CG. A systematic review of efficacy of McKenzie therapy for spinal pain. Aust J Physiother 2004;50:209-16.
- 62. Machado LA, de Souza MS, Ferreira PH, Ferreira ML. The McKenzie method for low back pain: a systematic review of the literature with a meta-analysis approach. Spine 2006;31:E254-62.
- 63. Clarke JA, van Tulder MW, Blomberg SE, de Vet HC, van der Heijden GJ, Bronfort G. Traction for low-back pain with or without sciatica. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005;(4):CD003010.
- 64. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Brief evidence update: primary care interventions to prevent low back pain. Accessed January 10, 2007, at: http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/3rduspstf/lowback/lowbackup.htm.
- 65. Burton AK, Balague F, Cardon G, Eriksen HR, Henrotin Y, Lahad A, et al., for the COST B13 Working Group on European Guidelines for Prevention in Low Back Pain. How to prevent low back pain [Published correction appears in Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2005;19:1095]. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2005;19:541-55.
- Carragee EJ. Clinical practice. Persistent low back pain. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1891-8.
- Pincus T, Burton AK, Vogel S, Field AP. A systematic review of psychological factors as predictors of chronicity/disability in prospective cohorts of low back pain. Spine 2002;27:E109-20.
- 68. Pincus T, Vlaeyen JW, Kendall NA, Von Korff MR, Kalauokalani DA, Reis S. Cognitive-behavioral therapy and psychosocial factors in low back pain: directions for the future. Spine 2002;27:E133-8.
- Cedraschi C, Allaz AF. How to identify patients with a poor prognosis in daily clinical practice. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2005;19:577-91.